

ARTICLE

THE HEDONIC MODEL OF THE LAND FOR AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES MARKET VALUE

Nailya M. Yakupova*, Ekaterina I. Kadochnikova, Igor L. Beilin, Gulia M. Iskhakova

Institute of Management, Economics and Finance, Kazan Federal University, Kazan, RUSSIA

ABSTRACT

The authors identified and evaluated the key hedonic factors of agricultural land in the Arsk municipal district of the Republic of Tatarstan of the Russian Federation market value. The authors studied the composition of hedonic characteristics included in the specification of the model, taking into account the characteristics of the terms of the transaction. In order to identify the observed factors determining the value of land plots, a linear specification of the regression hedonic model of market value according to the data on 35 land plots in the Arsk municipal district in 2019 is constructed. The empirical estimates of the specifications presented in the article confirmed the hypothesis of the relationship between the market value of the land plot with its area and the availability of access roads with hard surface. The relationship of the land market value with the distance to the city, the population in the locality in which the land is located, the configuration of the site in the linear specification of the regression hedonic model was not confirmed. The quality of the results was tested using the coefficient of determination, Fisher test and Student test, the results of the official monitoring of prices for agricultural land in the municipal districts of the Republic of Tatarstan. The results of empirical estimates confirmed the feasibility of this approach practical use to the assessment of land market value.

INTRODUCTION

KEY WORDS
hedonic model,
comparative approach,
land plot, regression,
least squares method

Agricultural land can serve as an object of investment, as well as be the object of economic turnover, with respect to which a number of property relations are formed. The essence of the comparative approach to the assessment of market value is to obtain an assessment of market value using the analysis of market prices of transactions or proposals for the sale of objects that are comparable with the estimated object and took place in the market of the estimated object before the date of evaluation. In the comparative approach, there is also a method of assessing real estate objects using regression analysis. This method is useful for constructing evaluation models if the number of comparable objects exceeds the number of comparison elements by 5-10 times. The advantages of regression models of market value assessment are the following: to assess the regularity of the influence of the main factors on the value of the land, both in the aggregate and each of them separately; to describe the form of analytical dependence of the market value and to assess the closeness of this dependence; the ability to determine the market value of the land at specified values of factors. The sufficiency of the actual data on the prices of transactions or offers, the analysis of the main factors influencing their cost, and also determination of a market segment, allow applying regression models within the comparative approach to research of the market of the parcels of agricultural purpose. In our opinion, the regression model of the market value of a land plot can be considered as a hedonic model that links market prices with the value characteristics of land plots. The main idea of the hedonic model is that the market value of the land consists of the usefulness of the measured quantitative and qualitative value characteristics of the land as a commodity. The model analyzes the desire of the consumer to pay for certain clearly observed characteristics of the land. The coefficients in the hedonic model show the implicit price of each of the characteristics, that is, the value of each characteristic in the total value of the land. The first applications of the hedonic model to the analysis of prices were made [1-3]. Different functional forms of hedonic regression were compared in the problems of variation of office rent prices by several researchers [4-6]. As a rule, authors of works on commercial real estate [5-10] get similar results on the impact of the characteristics of the office on the price. Residential real estate was subjected to a closer analysis of researchers due to both social significance and data availability [11-16]. Publicly available and detailed data on the land market for housing construction are still insufficient, as are scientific papers devoted to its study. There is practically no econometric analysis of the land market, in particular the assessment of their market value, in Russian scientific practice. The aim of the study is to build a hedonic regression model of the market value of agricultural land with a set of variables that can take into account the effects of improvements in land quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

When calculating the market value of the land to be sold, the comparative approach used a statistical method of calculating the cost - regression analysis. The method of regression analysis was considered the most appropriate for calculating the market value of the estimated land plot, since the number of comparable objects - analogues is large enough. Therefore, the use of this method will reveal the regularity of the influence of the main factors on the studied indicator - the cost of land. The evaluation of the land plot to be sold on the basis of regression analysis consists of the following sequence of actions:

1. formation of a sample of homogeneous land - analogues and collection of initial information;
2. selection of the main pricing factors affecting the cost of land;

Received: 14 Aug 2019
Accepted: 16 Sept 2019
Published: 19 Sept 2019

*Corresponding Author
Email:
yakupova.nm@mail.ru
Tel.: +7 (843)2911370

3. estimation of the regression model on a sample of land-analogues;
4. verification of model estimates;
6. calculation of the land market value to be sold.

As a result of the analysis of the land market, proposals for the sale of analogues - land plots of the category "agricultural land" in the Arsk municipal district of the Republic of Tatarstan of the Russian Federation were identified. The sample consisted of 35 plots of land for which information was collected from websites of real estate, in particular such as <https://www.avito.ru>, <http://объекты-недвижимости.рф>, <https://torgi.gov.ru>. was chosen as the main pricing factors that affect the value of the land for agricultural purposes: X1 - area of land; X2 - distance to the city, X3 - distance to the point of reception of agricultural products, X4 - availability of access roads with hard surface, X5 - the population in the village in which the land is located, X6 - configuration of the site.

The first pricing factor - area - refers to the physical characteristics of land. This element was included in the calculation of the regression model to identify its impact on the cost of land. Since the estimated land plot and all analogues are located in the Arsk municipal district, the distance from each comparable land plot to the administrative center of this municipal district - Arsk city was calculated as the second factor. To take into account the presence of access roads with a hard surface in the regression model, a fictitious variable was used: 1 - there are access roads with a hard surface, 0 - there is no. The configuration refers to the technological properties of agricultural land in terms of the suitability of similar land for mechanized processing, a fictitious variable is also used: 1 - convenient for mechanized processing, 0 - no. Also, for each land plot, depending on its location in a particular locality, the number in this locality was found.

The estimation of the linearized model specifications is performed by the usual least squares method in the Gretl Software environment [17-19]. The model takes into account potentially influencing price-forming factors, according to which the compared land plots differ and the changes of which can affect the change in the cadastral value of land plots. The authors made intuitive check of the signs validity when the coefficients of the econometric hedonic model, that is, their conformity with the nature of the influence of pricing factors. To verify the quality of the obtained models the authors used the coefficient of determination, the average error of the approximation, the statistics of Fisher and Student's t-test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To determine the presence and strength of the relationship between the variables, a correlation analysis was carried out using the MS Excel analysis Package. The results of the correlation analysis are shown in [Table. 1].

Table 1: Matrix of pair correlation linear coefficients

	Y	x1	x2	x3	x4	x5	x6
Y	1						
x1	0,868366	1					
x2	-0,19252	-0,26793	1				
x3	-0,18471	-0,22424	0,862357	1			
x4	0,65093	0,481863	0,029557	-0,0561	1		
x5	-0,23664	-0,21952	-0,22584	-0,15862	-0,30562	1	
x6	0,118963	0,149008	0,204432	0,086704	0,224201	-0,23147	1

The source: obtained by authors in MS Excel

Table- 1 shows that the variables x2 and X3 are collinear. Since the absence of correlation between variables and the more precise functional relationship between them is an important condition for factors included in multiple regression, it is necessary to exclude one of these factors from the model. Variable x2 describes the distance to the administrative center, and variable X3 - the distance to the point of reception, storage and processing of agricultural products. Based on the fact that both variables have a weak relationship with the remaining factors and also a weak relationship with the dependent variable, intuitive analysis is used to select the excluded variable. The distance to the administrative center is a more important factor compared to the distance to the point of reception, storage and processing of agricultural products, since not every owner may be interested in using the points of reception of agricultural products, for example, in the case of agricultural production on a relatively small area. Therefore, the variable X3 can be excluded from the model.

After the X3 variable is excluded, a regression analysis should be performed. The results of the regression analysis are shown in [Table- 2].

Table 2: The results of the regression analysis of the market value of land.

<i>Regression statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0,993742
R-square	0,987523
Normalized R-square	0,985372
Standard error	24277,12
Observation	35

<i>Analysis of variance</i>					
	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value F</i>
Regression	5	1,35E+12	2,71E+11	459,0518	1,14E-26
Residual	29	1,71E+10	5,89E+08		
Total	34	1,37E+12			

Factors	Standard error	t-statistics	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	
Intercept	56583,32	17150,77	3,299172	0,002572	21506,06	91660,57
x1	3,736456	0,100766	37,08052	5,49E-26	3,530366	3,942545
x2	-890,897	626,5746	-1,42185	0,165736	-2172,39	390,5923
x4	35575,26	11178,97	3,182336	0,003471	12711,69	58438,83
x5	0,062612	1,24265	0,050386	0,96016	-2,47889	2,604118
x6	-4294,54	9029,481	-0,47561	0,637913	-22761,9	14172,82

The source: obtained by authors in MS Excel

Table-2 shows that the relationship of variables X2, X5, X6 with the dependent variable Y – the market value of the land plot (P-the value of Student statistics for regression coefficients for these variables is greater than 0.1).

The exclusion of insignificant variables from the model allowed to obtain the following regression analysis result, shown in [Table- 3].

Table 3: The results of the regression analysis after exclusion of insignificant variable

<i>Regression statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0,993112
R-square	0,986272
Normalized R-square	0,985414
Standard error	24242
Observation	35

<i>Analysis of variance</i>					
	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P-value F</i>
Regression	2	1,35E+12	6,76E+11	1149,5	1,59E-30
Residual	32	1,88E+10	5,88E+08		
Total	34	1,37E+12			

Factors	Standard error	t-statistics	P-value	Lower 95%	Upper 95%	
Intercept	36819,19	8124,521	4,53186	7,7E-05	20270,08	53368,3
x1	3,781715	0,093328	40,5208	4,49E-29	3,591612	3,971817
x4	31409,44	10699,62	2,935566	0,006119	9615,028	53203,85

The source: obtained by authors in MS Excel

As can be seen from Table- 3, the land area (X1) and the availability of access roads with hard surface (X4) explain 99% of the variation of the dependent variable – the market value of the land. Only 1% of the variation in the market value of the land plot is explained by other value characteristics not taken into account in this regression model. According to the Fisher test [Table 4] at all possible significance levels, the null hypothesis of co-equality of regression coefficients to zero is rejected. The model is statistically significant and reliable. According to the Student test [Table 4] regression coefficients for factors X1 and X4 are statistically significant, which confirms at all possible levels of significance the existence of a linear relationship between the land area, the presence of access roads with hard surface and market value.

Table 4: Statistics of Fisher and Student's t test

Indicator name	Value	
F - Fisher statistics	actual	1149,5
	critical (0,1;0,05;0,01)	2,477; 3,295; 5,336
T - Student statistics	actual (β ₀ , β ₁ , β ₄)	36819,19; 3,782; 31409,44
	critical (0,1;0,05;0,01)	1,694; 2,037; 2,738

The source: obtained by authors in MS Excel

Using estimates of the linear multiple regression model:

$y = 36819,19 + 3,781715 * x_1 + 31409,44 * x_4 + \varepsilon$ you can estimate the market value of the land to be sold. For example, the object of valuation is the market value of the land plot with a total area of 2 009 000 sq. m, located to the address: Republic of Tatarstan, Arsky municipal district, rural settlement Kalasinski. The distance of the land plot from the administrative center (Arsk) is 8.3 km, the distance to the point of reception and processing of agricultural products is 12 km, the shape of the site is trapezoidal, there are access roads with hard surface. The market value of this site will be:

$$y = 36819,19 + 3,781715 * 2009000 + 31409,44 * 1 = 7\ 665\ 694 \text{ rub.}$$

Thus, the cost of 1m2. estimated land according to the correlation and regression analysis will be:
 $7\ 665\ 694 / 2009000 = 3,82 \text{ rub.}$

According to the monitoring of prices for agricultural land plots in the municipal districts of the Republic of Tatarstan, this value lies in the price range of land plots of this category located in the Arsk municipal district.

The simulation results can be summarized in several conclusions.

With an increase in the area of land per square meter, the market value of the land increases by an average of 3.78 rubles. This is understandable, as intuitively increasing the area of land leads to an increase in the value of the land. This feature should not be confused with the specific indicator of market value, which will decrease as the area increases. The presence of access roads with hard surface increases the market value of the land on average 31409.44 rub.

Among the factors of the market value of agricultural land plots, the relationship with the distance to the city, the population in the locality in which the land plot is located, the configuration of the site is not confirmed. This situation reflects the weak level of market competition in the market of agricultural land, caused by insufficient demand for agricultural land.

CONCLUSIONS

The diversity and internal heterogeneity of agricultural land requires adequate means of determining its value. Assessment of the market value of agricultural land plots is carried out mainly using the methods of income and comparative approaches. In the framework of the comparative approach, one of the adequate methods for determining the market value of agricultural land plots is the method based on the application of regression analysis of the characteristics of land plots - analogues.

The analysis of the features of evaluation of agricultural land plots shows that the specificity of evaluation of land plots of this category imposes certain restrictions on the choice and application of evaluation approaches and requires careful study of all factors affecting the value of agricultural land. An adequate method of evaluation of agricultural land consists of features related to the quality of land and economic characteristics and should be based on the principles of rental economy and the principles of evaluation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There is no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work is performed according to the Russian Government Program of Competitive Growth of Kazan Federal University.

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

REFERENCES

- [1] Rosen S. [1974] Hedonic prices and implicit markets: product differentiation in pure competition, *Journal of Political Economy*. 82(1):34-55.
- [2] Griliches Z. [1971] Price indexes and quality change. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- [3] Epple D. [1987] Hedonic prices and implicit markets: estimating demand and supply functions for differentiated products, *Journal of Political Economy*. 95(1):59-80.
- [4] Brennan TP, Cannaday RE, Colwell PF. [1984] Office rent in the Chicago CBD, *Real Estate Economics*. 12(3):243-260.
- [5] Bollinger CR, Ihlanfeldt KR, Bowes DR. [1998] Spatial variation in office rents within the Atlanta region, *Urban Studies*. 35(7):1097-1118.
- [6] Frew JR, Jud GD. [1988] The vacancy rate and rent levels in the commercial office market", *Journal of Real Estate Research*. 3(1):1-8.
- [7] Shilton L, Zaccaria A. [1994] The avenue effect, landmark externalities, and cubic transformation: Manhattan office valuation, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*. 8(2):151-165.
- [8] Sivitanidou R. [1995] Urban spatial variations in office-commercial rents: The role of spatial amenities and commercial zoning, *Journal of Urban Economics*. 38(1):23-49.
- [9] Colwell PF, Munneke HJ, Trefzger JW. [1998] Chicago's office market: Price indices, location and time, *Real Estate Economics*. 26(1):83-106.

- [10] Cervero R, Duncan M. [2002] Benefits of proximity to rail on housing markets: experiences in Santa Clara County, *Journal of Public Transportation*. 5(1):1-18.
- [11] Case KE, Shiller RJ. [1987] Prices of single family homes since 1970: New indexes for four cities. *Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers*. 851. doi:10.3386/w2393.
- [12] Haurin D. [1988] The duration of marketing time of residential housing. *Real Estate Economics*. 16(4):396-410.
- [13] Arnott R. [1987] Economic theory and housing. *Handbook of regional and urban economics*. 2(24):959-988.
- [14] Case KE, Shiller RA. [1994] Decade of boom and bust in the prices of single family homes: Boston and Los Angeles. *New England Economic Review*. 40-51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462\(95\)02121-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-0462(95)02121-3).
- [15] Smith BA, Tesarek WP. [1991] House prices and regional real estate cycles: Market adjustments in Houston. *Real Estate Economics*. 19(3):396-416.
- [16] Glumac B, Herrera-Gomez M, Licheron J. [2019] A hedonic urban land price index. *Land Use Policy*. 81:802-812.
- [17] William H, Greene. [2018] *Econometric Analysis*. 8th Edition, Stern School of Business, New York University.
- [18] Wooldridge JM. [2013] *Introductory Econometrics. A modern approach*. 5th edition, Michigan State University, South-Western.
- [19] Yakupova NM, Levachkova SJ, Kadochnikova EI, Beilin IL. [2017] Measurement of cost factors: Evidence from trading companies. *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*. 11(4):794-802.